#### Modern Age of Rhodium Porphyrins: Review of Prof. Kin Shing Chan

John Thompson Dong Group Literature Seminar September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2013





- 138 Papers Published
- Over 25 Graduate Students Trained
- Current leader in Rhodium porphyrin chemistry

#### The Chinese University of Hong Kong





### **Chan Group Chemistry**

- Pre Metalloporphyrin Expansion-



![](_page_3_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Overview**

- K.S. Chan's start with rhodium porphyrins
- Research Focus:

   1,2-Rearrangements
   C-C Activation
   Base Promoted C-H Activation
   Misc.
- Future of his chemistry

### **Metalloporphyrins**

- Review:
  - Rhodium and Iridium coordinate strongly to porphyrins
  - The metals can exist in oxidation states of +1, +2, and +3 with porphyrin coordination
  - Ir<sup>II</sup>/Rh<sup>II</sup> = 7 electrons, paramagnetic metalloporphyrins
    - Unsterically hindered, exist as dimers
    - Sterically hindered, exist as stable monomers

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

Rh

![](_page_5_Figure_8.jpeg)

Rich history in Electrophilic Aromatic Substitution and C-H activation of alkanes.

#### **Solving Metalloporphyrin Dimer Formation**

• Issue: Synthesis of the reactive Rh<sup>II</sup>/Ir<sup>II</sup> dimers is non trivial

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Novel, high-yielding convenient synthesis that works for Ir and Rh

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Stoichiometric in TEMPO, quantitative in yield of dimer

Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 3187.

#### **1,2-Alkyl Rearrangement**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Rare occurrence in metals with macrocyclic ligands due to lack of cis-coordination

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

 <u>Driving Force</u>: Even though more sterically bulky, electronwithdrawing phenyl group stabilizes 2° Rh-C through bond polarization

**Mechanism**? Cis-coordination is absent with rhodium porphryins, leaving  $\beta$ -H elimination hindered

- 1<sup>st</sup> order in (por)Rh
- <sup>13</sup>C label showed Rh migration

#### **1,2-Rearrangement Mecanism**

#### Mechanism: Cis-coordination is absent.

- 1. Radical process
- 2. β-H elimination and M-H insertion

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

Ph

Rh

Erying plot studied over temperature ranges showed organized transition state

**Radical involvement unlikely** 

JACS 1998, 20, 9686.

#### **1,2-Rearrangement Mecanism**

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Electronic Effects of Rearrang**

Published on 01 January 1999. Downloaded on 13/08/

• New study with planar porphyrins

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Planar porphyrins required higher temperature (Xray shows rhodium in plane of porphyrin)
- Electronic Effect: EWG: favors rearrangement EDG: favors starting material
  - \*\*\*Promotes stabilization of carbocation

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 3333.

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2011, 510.

#### **TEMPO: Reagent, not Radical Trap**

- During investigation of 1,2-rearangment of alkyl rhodium porphyrins, TEMPO underwent CCA.
  - Reactivity was depended on β-C-H bond strength

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

- [TEMPO] increase → increase in reaction rate
- Alkyl porphyrins, like propyl or ethyl, required 7 and 14 days respectively

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Rhodium-Carbon bond was cleaved in reaction

Organometallics, 2002, 21, 2362.

#### **TEMPO: Reagent, not Radical Trap**

- Mechanism occurs in 2 steps:
  - A) Generation of Rh<sup>II</sup>
  - B) CCA of TEMPO

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Mech. A:

- Requires homolysis of strong Rh-C bond
- Absence of trapped product

Ph 、

#### Mech. B:

- Supported by RhoH intermediate
- Disappearance of starting material was directly related to [TEMPO]
- Without TEMPO, 1,2-rearrangement took 10 to 144 h, no Rh-H intermediate

#### Mech. C:

- Synchronous H-abstraction with homolysis of Rh-C bond.
- Explains trend in TEMPO concentration

#### **CCA Mechanism is still unexplored**

Organometallics, 2002, 21, 2362.

#### **TEMPO C-C Activation Mechanism**

• Competes with CHA at lower temperatures

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Isolated in Previous Work** 

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Table 1. Yields of Rh(tmp)Me and TEMPOH

entry	temp °C	TEMPO equiv	% Rh(tmp)Me	% TEMPOH	% total yield	Rh(tmp)Me: TEMPOH
1	70	1	60	5.7	65.7	10.5:1
2	70	2	76	8.0	83.0	9.5:1
3	70	5	80	9.0	89.0	8.9:1
4	70	20	82	9.3	91.3	8.8:1
5	50	20	73	16.8	89.8	4.4:1
6	60	20	76	12.3	88.3	6.1:1
7	80	20	85	3.9	88.9	21.8:1

>96%

• TEMPO-H believed to come from a Rh-H intermediate

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

JACS, 2008, 130, 2051.

### **TEMPO-H Hydrogen Source**

- If Rh-H is indeed the intermediate, then where did "H" atom originate?
  - Solvent (Benzene)
  - TEMPO
  - Starting Material [(TMP)Rh<sup>II</sup>]
  - Product [(TMP)Rh<sup>III</sup>-Me]

Extremely difficult Stable in benzene at 70°C for 24h Stable in benzene at 130°C for 2d No reaction at 70°C

• Formed from chelation assisted CHA

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

- » Driven by fast TEMPO H-atom abstraction
- » TEMPO-H yields do increase with higher [TEMPO]
- » Binding studies showed a 1:1 adduct
- » Secondary H's unlikely due to unstable products

JACS, 2008, 130, 2051.

#### **DFT Analysis of CCA**

• Propose methyl transfer occurs through radical or  $S_N^2$ -like transition states.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

(open-shell singlet)

TS1

- Radical pathway more exergonic by 5 kcal/mol
  - Both are plausible

JACS, 2008, 130, 2051.

#### **Activation of Ketones**

- Activation of  $C_{CO}$ - $C_{alkyl}$  has been established, while  $C_{\alpha-CO}$ - $C_{alkyl}$  was unexplored

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

-only CHA product due to enolizable protons

J. Organomet. Chem., 2006, 691, 3782.

### **Activation of Non-Enolizable Ketones**

CCA results betw	ween Rh(tmp) and ketones				
Entry	Ketone <sup>a</sup>	Ligand	Time (d)	Product (Yield [%] <sup>d</sup> )	
1 2 3	3d	None Ph <sub>3</sub> P <sup>b</sup> py <sup>c</sup>	1	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (20) Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (31) Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (22)	PPh <sub>3</sub> = More e⁻ rich/ reactive (por)Rh <sup>∥</sup>
4	→ <sup>3</sup> e	$\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{b}}$	3	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1(trace)	Pyridine= induces
5	Ph 3f	$Ph_3P^b$	1	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (18, 16 <sup>e</sup> )	aloproportionation
6	Ph 3g [26]	$Ph_3P^b$	1	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (24)	
7	Ph Ph 3h [27]	$Ph_3P^b$	1	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (14)	Rh(tmp) + end
8	Ph Ph 3i [28]	Ph <sub>3</sub> P <sup>b</sup>	3	No reaction	
9	O 3j [29]	$Ph_3P^b$	1	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (25)	ļ
10	<b>3k</b> [30]	$Ph_3P^b$	1	Rh(tmp)CH <sub>3</sub> 1 (30)	(pmt)Rh
11	O Bn 31 [30] O	$Ph_3P^b$	3	Rh(tmp)Bn 10 (6)	Cyclic ketones unreactive

 $(TMP)Rh^{II} \xrightarrow{py} py(TMP)Rh^{III} + py(TMP)Rh^{I}$ 

J. Organomet. Chem., 2006, 691, 3782.

#### **Aliphatic Carbon-Carbon Bond Activation**

- Reactions for the  $C_{\alpha-CO}$ - $C_{alkyl}$  activation were low yielding with strict substrate compatibility
- Other applications:

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 2021.

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

Organometallics 2007, 26, 2679.

Organometallics 2009, 28, 6845.

### **C-C Ring Opening of Cyclooctane**

- Cyclooctane is relatively unstrained, common target for C-H activation.
- C-C activation is rare; heterogeneous conditions requiring 530°C or oxidative conditions with Co (II)/Mn(II)/N-hydroxyphthalides yielding the diacid in 2% yield.

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Both (TPP)Rh-H and [(TPP)Rh]<sub>2</sub> gave low yields
  - Only minor intermediates by themselves

JACS 2010, 132, 6920.

#### **C-C Ring Opening of Cyclooctane**

CCA catalyzed by [Rh<sup>ll</sup>]

$$2[Rh] - H \xrightarrow{i} [Rh]_2 + H_2$$
(8)

$$[Rh]_2 \xrightarrow{ii} 2[Rh]$$
(9)

#### Table 1. Rh<sup>II</sup>(ttp)-Catalyzed CCA of c-Octane wih Rh(ttp)H

Rh(ttp)H + I <b>3</b>	Rh <sub>2</sub> (ttp) <sub>2</sub> + <i>c</i> -octane <b>5</b>	<u>120 °C</u> 15 h, N <sub>2</sub>	Rh(ttp)( <i>c</i> -octyl) + F 1	Rh(ttp)( <i>n</i> -octyl) <b>(12</b> <b>2</b>
Entry <sup>a</sup>	3:5	Yield <b>1</b> (%)	Yield <b>2</b> (%)	Total yield (%)
$1^b$	1:0	0	21	21
2	2:1	60	18	78
3	5:1	53	26	79
4	10:1	0	73	73

<sup>*a*</sup> The results are the average of at least duplicate. <sup>*b*</sup> 73% Rh(ttp)H recovered.

JACS 2010, 132, 6920.

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

rung ei ai.

## C<sub>cn</sub>-C<sub>x</sub> Bond Cleavage Mechanism

**Proposed Mechanism:** 

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

### $C_{co}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ Bond Cleavage Mechanism

**Proposed Mechanism:** 

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

### $C_{co}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ Bond Cleavage Mechanism

**Proposed Mechanism:** 

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

### $C_{co}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ Bond Cleavage Mechanism

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Catalytic C-C $\sigma$ -Bond Hydrogenation

• Replaces H<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O as hydrogen donor in hydrogenation in tandem CCA

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Reaction was 2<sup>nd</sup> order with (TMP)Rh

٠

- Mechanism of Rh-Me exchange is through σ-bond metathesis
- Deuterium experiments confirmed H<sub>2</sub>O was source of hydrogen

JACS, 2012, 134, 11388.

%D terminal:internal = 8:71

#### Catalytic C-C $\sigma$ -Bond Hydrogenation

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Replaces H<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O as hydrogen donor in hydrogenation in tandem CCA

$$1000 \text{ equiv } H_2O$$

$$Rh^{III}(ttp)Bn \xrightarrow{benzene-d_6} PhCH_3 + Rh^{III}(ttp)H + \frac{Rh \text{ porphyrin}}{unknowns} (5)$$

$$2\% \qquad 3.5 \text{ d} \qquad 66\% \qquad 15\% \qquad 41\%$$

JACS, 2012, 134, 11388.

#### **Switch Gears: C-H Activation**

• Before Chan, this field was dominated by Bradford Wayland

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Rhll

JACS, 1991, 113, 5305.

#### **Switch Gears: C-H Activation**

• Went into C-H Activation field going back to Wayland's work, but found an interesting discovery

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

- High temperature favored less stable rhodium-alkyl bond
- Coordinating ligands were not effective, only forming complexes with rhodium

		10010 10 200		
10equiv base	entry	base	time/min	yield/%
30min-1hr rxn	1	NaOH	45	94
	2	KOH	60	94
	3	$K_2CO_3$	30	97
	4	KHCO <sub>3</sub>	600	94
	10equiv base 30min-1hr rxn	10equiv baseentry30min-1hr rxn12334	10equiv baseentrybase30min-1hr rxn1NaOH2KOH3K2CO34KHCO3	10equiv baseentrybasetime/min30min-1hr rxn1NaOH452KOH603 $K_2CO_3$ 304KHCO_3600

#### Table 2. Base Effect in CHA

#### **C-H Activation of Toluenes**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

	1 40	ie of Denzy		Ionucines	
		entry A (K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )		entry B (no K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	
entry	FG	time/min	product (yield/%)	time/days	product (yield/%)
1	OMe	30	<b>2a</b> (92)	2	<b>2a</b> (78)
2	<sup>t</sup> Bu	45	<b>2b</b> (98)	2	<b>2b</b> (84)
3	Me	45	<b>2c</b> (90)		
4	3,5-Me <sub>2</sub>	45	<b>2d</b> (45)	3	<b>2d</b> (35)
5	Н	30	<b>2</b> (97)	3	<b>2</b> (26)
6	F	240	<b>2e</b> (64)	3	<b>2e</b> (72)
7	CN	60	<b>2f</b> (83)	3	no reacn
8	$NO_2$	30	<b>2</b> g (98)	1	no pdt

Table 3.	Benzylic	CHA of	Toluenes
	•/		

Organometallics, 2007, 26, 1117.

### **C-H Activation of Alkanes**

Rh(ttp)Cl + <b>1a</b>		10 equiv base 120ºC, time, N <sub>2</sub>	th(ttp)	(2)
entry	base	time (h)	yield (%)	
1	none	24	31	
2	PPh <sub>3</sub>	24	$0^a$	
3	2,2'-bpy <sup>b</sup>	48	50	
4	2,6-dbpy <sup>c</sup>	24	50	
5	2,6-dppy <sup>d</sup>	24	58	
6	2,6-dppy <sup>d</sup>	6	23	
7	NaOH	6	47	
8	NaOAc	6	51	
9	$K_2CO_3$	6	59	
10	$K_2CO_3$	24	40	
<sup><i>a</i></sup> Rh(ttp)Cl(PPh 2,2'-bipyridine.	3) ( <b>2f</b> ) was 2,6-dbpy =	obtained in 83 2,6-di- <i>tert</i> -butyl	9% yield. ${}^{b}2,2'$ -bpy pyridine. ${}^{d}2,6$ -dppy	=

Table 2. Base Effect in CHA

#### Table 5. Activation of Alkanes with Rh(ttp)Cl

	Rh(ttp)Cl + R−H 1a	$\frac{10 \text{ equiv } K_2CO_3}{120^{\circ}C, N_2}$ dark, time	Rh(ttp)—R <b>2a-e</b>	(5)
entry	substrate	time (h)	product (yield (	%))
1	cyclopentane	6	<b>2b</b> (76)	
2	cyclohexane	6	<b>2a</b> (59)	
3	<i>n</i> -pentane	24	<b>2c</b> (29)	
4	<i>n</i> -hexane	24	<b>2d</b> (40)	
5	<i>n</i> -heptane	24	<b>2e</b> (58)	

Inorganic bases promoted both yields and rates of reaction (at 10 equiv)

More electron deficient porphyrins reacted faster ٠

2,6-diphenylpyridine.

٠

Linear alkanes required longer time but yields increased for longer chains due to solubility •

#### **C-H Activation of Alkanes**

• What happens to the rhodium alkyls over time with base to cause lower yields?

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

- E2 elimination of (TTP)Rh (Rh-H is moderately strong acid, pka~11)
- C-H activation at allylic position occurs  $\rightarrow$  E2 again  $\rightarrow$  polymer or forms cyclopentadienyl anion

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

• Cyclohexyl was more stable due to smaller dihedral angle, disfavoring E2 elimination

Organometallics, 2008, 27, 4625.

### **C-H Activation Mechanism**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Organometallics, 2008, 27, 4625.

#### **Role of -OH: The Reductant**

• In these base catalyzed reactions, the role of hydroxide has only hypothetically been examined

Rh<sup>III</sup>(por)X 
$$\xrightarrow{\text{KOH}}$$
 Rh<sup>III</sup>(por)OH  $\xrightarrow{\Delta, C_6D_6}$  Rh<sup>II</sup>(por) + 1/2H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>  
X = CI, I

Rh-OH bond is weak

- Ligand substitution of Rh-Cl to Rh-OH
  - Hydroxide ion is a reducing agent
  - Donates 1 e<sup>-</sup> to Rh<sup>III</sup> to make Rh<sup>II</sup> and hydroxide radical (reported for Mn/Fe/Co porphyrins)

$$\frac{[Rh^{II}(ttp)]_2 + H_2O_{2(aq)}}{2a} \xrightarrow{1 h, r.t} 2Rh^{III}(ttp)OH \xrightarrow{1 h, 120 \circ C} [Rh^{II}(ttp)]_2 + H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{H_2O_2 Trap:} O=PPh_3$$

Organometallics 2011, 30, 2633.

Published: May 02, 2011

#### **Uses of the Base Reduction**

This discovery helps explain past results and produce new chemical reactions

Rh<sup>Ⅲ</sup>-CI

-CI

 $-H_2O_2$ 

Rh<sup>Ⅲ</sup>-OH

Rh<sup>∥</sup>

"OH"

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

# THANK YOU!

# Questions?

1. Predict the product.

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

2. Propose a mechanism for the reaction below. Provide the rhodium porphyrin product and one of the ether by-products.

(por)Rh-Cl + ∕∕∕0 ∕∕ KOH (10 equiv) rhodium porphyrin + alcohol or H<sub>2</sub>O product alkyl formate 25°C, 10min

3. Propose a mechanism and predict the inorganic and organic product.

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)